

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,054	09/11/2003	Shigeru Yamane	MAT-8260US1	MAT-8260US1 7291	
23122 7:	590 . 10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
RATNERPRESTIA			DIXON, MERRICK L		
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,		1774		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/660,054	YAMANE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Merrick Dixon	1774		

	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO P	LACE THIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but printing this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE following time periods: 	of the following replies: (1) an amendo e; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal	ment, affidavit, or other evid fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either be MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for bire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin ox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply originally s	the fee. The appropriate extensions the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A briofiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repart AMENDMENTS	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final (a) They raise new issues that would require (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see N (c) They are not deemed to place the applica appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without ca	further consideration and/or search (some search (some search); tion in better form for appeal by mate nceling a corresponding number of fi	see NOTE below); erially reducing or simplifying	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3° 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following references. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) with the non-allowable claim(s). 	7 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of ejection(s):		,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendm how the new or amended claims would be reject The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37,40,41,44,47,59,66,69,70,70 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ted is provided below or appended. ws:	o) will be entered and an	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing o and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.1 	f good and sufficient reasons why the	•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expression of the entered of the entered	failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde necessary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fa inted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ■ The request for reconsideration has been considered to the second seco	sidered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure State 13. Other:	ement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s) Merrick Dixon Primary Examiner	dur



Art Unit: 1774

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has studied such request. It appears Applicants now address the 102 rejection earlier offered by the office. Applicants, however, erraneously contend that the 102 rejection is improper because the Examiner himself suggested that the "argued" material could be substituted. To this the examiner respectfully remind applicants that such argument was advanced for the 103 rejection not the 102 rejection. Further, and additionally, such argument was offered for separate claims. The examiner merely use such position as a way of example..